



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,866	09/19/2006	Herve Bercovier	27637U	2826
20529	7590	11/10/2010	EXAMINER	
THE NATH LAW GROUP 112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314			DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2010	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/589,866	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BERCOVIER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> S. Devi, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1645	

**All Participants:**

- (1) S. Devi (USPTO).
- (2) Attorney Ari Zytcer.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (3) \_\_\_\_\_.
- (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 November 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant's amendment to the specification filed 02/16/2010.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/S. Devi, Ph.D./  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed:

Attorney Zytcer was informed that Applicants' amendment made to the first paragraph of the specification via the amendment filed 02/16/2010 introduces priority information that does not belong to this case, but to a different case. Attorney Zytcer would file a 312 amendment by 11/10/10 to correct this issue.

/S. Devi/  
Primary Examiner  
AU 1645

11-08-2010